

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,433	01/06/2004	Pat Tsao	U 014968-4	3780
7:	590 08/01/2006		EXAMINER	
William R. Evans			AGBOTTAH, AWUDZI Z	
Ladas & Parry 26 West 61 Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10023			2632	
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
→		10/752,433	TSAO, PAT
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Awudzi Z. Agbottah	2632
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is o	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	•	armior. Note the attached emoc	7.0.1017 01 1011111 1 1 0 102.
12) [a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 1/31/05.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/752,433

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rydgren et al. (United States Patent Application Publication No. US 2006/0046790 A1) in view of Wun (United States Patent No. 5778079).

Consider claims 1 and 4, Rydgren et al. discloses a headset system for direct wireless communication (wireless transceiver apparatus) (Abstract, Paragraph 11, Lines 1-4). Rydgren et al. discloses a headset (transceiver unit, radio transmitting unit) that is includes a transceiver unit that includes an audio converter which is capable of

Application/Control Number: 10/752,433

Art Unit: 2632

نتغور المؤدرات

converting between audio data and speech (first signal) (Paragraph 38, Lines 1-2;

Paragraph 37, Lines 12-15). He also discloses a communication terminal

(communication unit) in which he states can be a mobile phone (Paragraph 34, Lines 1-2; Lines 11-13), which inherently can transmit a second signal.

In his disclosure he also discloses a cordless headset that consists of an antenna (radio-receiving unit) that receives and transmits signals (Paragraph 37, Lines 4-6).

Let it be noted that on page 6, lines 29-30 of the specification, the applicant contemplates that the switch and communication unit can be combined. Therefore for the purpose of examining this application, the examiner treats the switch and communication unit as being one device. Rydgren et al. discloses a communication terminal (switch) that is part of a system for communication with a headset that consists of the above mentioned antenna. Therefore the communication terminal (switch) and headset antenna (radio-receiving unit) are wirelessly connected (Paragraph 11, Lines 1-3).

Rydgren et al. discloses the functioning of his headset system in relation to the first and second states disclosed in claim 1. Rydgren et al.'s communication terminal (switch and communication unit) communicates audio data with a headset (transceiver unit) (Paragraph 21, Lines 1-3). This reads to the applicant's claim of "wherein when the wireless transceiver apparatus is in a first state, the switch unit receives the first signal from the transceiver unit and passes the received signal to the communication unit;". Rydgren et al. also describes the second state in which audio data from the communication terminal (switch and communication unit) to the headset antenna (radio-

Application/Control Number: 10/752,433

Art Unit: 2632

علىب عيل 🖚

receiving unit) (Paragraph 37, Lines 10-15). This reads to the applicant's claim of "...when the wireless transceiver apparatus is in a second state, the switch unit receives the second signal from the communication unit and passes the received signal to the transceiver unit, and finally to the radio-receiving unit."

Rydgren et al. discloses the claimed invention but fails to disclose a skin-touch microphone included with the transceiver unit. However, Wun discloses a skin touch-controlled piezoelectric microphone in his US Patent (Summary Of The Invention). In light of Rydgren et al., it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Rydgren et al. and Wun because using a skin touch-controlled piezoelectric microphone in the invention eliminates background noise and echo when used.

- 4. Consider claims 2 and 5, as applied to claims 1 and 4 above, Rydgren et al. discloses a wireless headset (wireless earpiece) (Paragraph 34; Figure 6.) which reads to the applicant's claim of "The wireless transceiver apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the radio-receiving unit is a wireless earpiece."
- 5. Consider claims 3 and 6, as applied to claims 1 and 4 above, Rydgren et al. et al. discloses a communication terminal (communication unit) as a mobile phone (cellular phone) (Paragraph 34, Lines 11-13; Paragraph 11, Lines 10-12).

.**4**), w

Application/Control Number: 10/752,433

Art Unit: 2632

Conclusion

6. Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to** (571) 273-8300 **or mailed to**:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Awudzi Z. Agbottah whose telephone number is (571) 270-1114. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Rafael Perez-Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-7915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2632

هرر حراب

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Awudzi Agbottah

A.Z.A../aza

July 18 ,2006

PATENT EXAMINER/TELECOMM.